

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 5634 SB	<b>Title:</b> Retail theft/aggregation	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
Counties					
Cities					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
County FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
City FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Aldo Melchiori	Phone: (360)786-7439	Date: 02/03/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 02/03/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/03/2017
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 02/06/2017

Request # 5634 SB-1

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

RCW 9A.56.360 would be amended to allow for a series of thefts committed by the same person from one or more mercantile establishments over a period of one hundred eighty days may be aggregated in one count and the sum of the value of all the property shall be the value considered in determining the degree of the retail theft with special circumstances involved. Thefts committed by the same person in different counties that have been aggregated in one county may be prosecuted in any county in which any one of the thefts occurred.

While this could possibly reduce workload of the courts of limited jurisdiction and increase the workload of superior courts because aggregation would allow multiple smaller crimes that would normally be misdemeanors to be treated as a felony, there is no data to determine what that impact would be.

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

### II. C - Expenditures

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
<b>Total \$</b>					

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact